# **Forced sterilisation of people with disability and people with intersex variations**

Forced sterilisation[[1]](#endnote-1) of people with disability, particularly women and girls with disability, is an ongoing practice that remains legal and sanctioned by Australian Governments.[[2]](#endnote-2) Forced sterilisation is recognised as a particularly egregious form of gender-based violence that has no place in a civilized world.[[3]](#endnote-3) Since 2005, UN treaty bodies, the Human Rights Council, UN special procedures and international medical bodies have recommended Australia enact national legislation prohibiting forced sterilisation.[[4]](#endnote-4) The Human Rights Council made clear recommendations in this regard as an outcome of the Universal Periodic Review (UPR) of Australia in 2015.[[5]](#endnote-5) Forced sterilisation has been identified as an act of violence, a form of social control and a form of torture by the UN Special Rapporteur on Torture,[[6]](#endnote-6) and as a form of violence by the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC).[[7]](#endnote-7)

Australian State and Territory guardianship legislation and some other child protection acts[[8]](#endnote-8) regulate and provide a degree of protection from forced sterilisation for all children and young people and adults with disability or intersex variations. However there is no law in Australia that explicitly prohibits forced sterilisation of children; or that prohibits forced sterilisation of adults without their full, prior and informed consent.[[9]](#endnote-9) Treatment decisions about intersex people encapsulate other issues, such as a desire to conduct ‘normalising’ surgery, and the neutrality of decision-making may be undermined.[[10]](#endnote-10)

In September 2012, the Senate Community Affairs References Committee (the Committee) commenced a national Inquiry into the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of people with disability and intersex people in Australia, and released two Inquiry Reports in 2013.[[11]](#endnote-11) In relation to people with disability, the Committee only recommended the prohibition of forced sterilisation if an adult with disability has the ‘capacity’ to provide consent. Despite UN recommendations and CRPD obligations, and based on Australia’s Interpretative Declaration in respect of Article 12 of the CRPD,[[12]](#endnote-12) the report also recommends that where a person with disability does not have ‘capacity’ for consent, substitute decision-making laws and procedures may permit the sterilisation of persons with disability.[[13]](#endnote-13) The Interpretative Declaration to CRPD article 12 means that it is legal to forcibly sterilise children and adults with disability, provided that they ‘lack capacity’ and that the procedure is in their ‘best interest’ as determined by a third party.

In relation to intersex people, the Senate Committee acknowledged that ’sex normalising’ practices impacted upon the prohibition against torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment (including the prohibition against non-consensual scientific or medical experimentation), and the right to privacy. It made numerous recommendations to defer non-necessary medical treatment, change clinical practices,[[14]](#endnote-14) and provide effective oversight of medical interventions.

Australia’s response to the Senate Inquiry Reports[[15]](#endnote-15) passes responsibility for action on forced sterilisation and ‘sex normalising’ practices to State and Territory jurisdictions; and retains the focus on regulation and non-binding guidelines rather than prohibition of such practices. It effectively accepts current legislative and practice frameworks for the authorisation of forced sterilisation and ‘sex normalising’ medical interventions within Australia.

The UN treaty monitoring bodies have expressed concern that the Australian Government continues to consider forced sterilisation of women and girls with disability as a matter for state governments to regulate. They have clarified that decentralising government power through devolution or delegation does not negate the obligation on a State party to enact national legislation that is applicable throughout its jurisdiction.[[16]](#endnote-16)

UN Treaty body Committees are increasingly recognising that intersex people who have had unnecessary surgery or treatment are ‘victims of abuses and mistreatment’.[[17]](#endnote-17) Further, unnecessary surgery or treatment on intersex people has been described by a number of UN Treaty Bodies as a ‘harmful practice’[[18]](#endnote-18) and causing ‘physical and psychological suffering’.[[19]](#endnote-19) Australia has not legislated against enforced medical correction of intersex variations. Evidence, including from a 2016 Family Court case,[[20]](#endnote-20) shows that such medical practices persist in Australia, on the basis of inadequate medical evidence and without independent oversight.

Australia has not implemented the 2018 recommendations from the CEDAW Committee that Australia:

“Adopt clear legislative provisions that explicitly prohibit the performance of unnecessary surgical or other medical procedures on intersex children before they reach the legal age of consent, implement the recommendations made by the Senate in 2013 on the basis of its inquiry into the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of intersex persons, provide adequate counselling and support for the families of intersex children and provide redress to intersex persons having undergone such medical procedures.”[[21]](#endnote-21)

Forced contraception of women and girls with disability through the use of menstrual suppressant drugs is a widespread, current practice in Australia and is rarely, if ever, subject to independent monitoring or review.[[22]](#endnote-22) Forced contraception is commonly used on women and girls with disability to suppress menstruation or sexual expression for various purposes, including eugenics-based practices of population control, menstrual management and personal care, and pregnancy prevention, including pregnancy that results from sexual abuse. It is a practice widely used in group homes and other forms of institutional settings and is often justified as a way of reducing the ‘burden’ on staff/carers who have to ‘deal with’ managing menstruation of disabled women and girls. In the case of persons with intellectual disability, the decision about type of contraception is almost exclusively made by someone else, such as a doctor and/or guardian, parent, or carer.

Forced anti-androgenic treatment to control sex drive and functioning of men and boys with disability is unregulated and commonly practiced by the medical profession.

**Recommendations**

That Australia:

* Develop and enact national, uniform and legally enforceable legislation prohibiting the sterilisation of children, and the sterilisation of adults in the absence of their prior, fully informed and free consent.
* Develop and enact national, uniform and legally enforceable legislation prohibiting unnecessary medical interventions, including surgical and hormonal interventions on intersex children and adults without their prior, fully informed and free personal consent.
* Abolish the practice of non-consensual administration of menstrual suppressant drugs and anti-androgenic treatments.
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